

HOUSING AUTHORITY of the County of Los Angeles

Administrative Office
2 Coral Circle • Monterey Park, CA 91755
323.890.7001 • TTY: 323.838.7449 • www.lacdc.org



Gloria Molina Yvonne Brathwaite Burke Zev Yaroslavsky Don Knabe Michael D. Antonovich Commissioners

Carlos Jackson Executive Director

December 13, 2005

Honorable Board of Commissioners Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Commissioners:

APPROVAL OF NINTH ALLOCATION OF CITY OF INDUSTRY
REDEVELOPMENT HOUSING SET-ASIDE FUNDS FOR AFFORDABLE
MULTIFAMILY DEVELOPMENTS AND APPROVAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL
DOCUMENTATION (1, 2, 3)
(3 Vote)

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD:

- Find that the James Wood Apartments and Mariposa Place, affordable housing projects, are exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), per Section 15280 of the CEQA Guidelines, as described herein.
- 2. Acting as the responsible agency pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), certify that the Housing Authority has considered the attached Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND), including any public comments, for the Temple Villas development project, prepared by the City of Los Angeles as lead agency, and the Environmental Assessment/Mitigated Negative Declaration (EA/MND) for the Mason Court development project, prepared by the County of Los Angeles as lead agency, and find that the mitigation measures identified in the IS/MND and the EA/MND are adequate to avoid or reduce potential environmental impacts to below significant levels.
- 3. Find that the above environmental documents reflect the independent judgment of the Housing Authority and authorize the Executive Director to take any and all actions necessary to complete implementation of the above environmental review actions.



- 4. Approve loans to developers using City of Industry Redevelopment Housing Set-Aside Funds (Industry Funds), in a total amount of up to \$4,325,992, for the development of a maximum of four affordable multifamily rental housing developments, identified in Attachment A, which have been selected through a Request for Proposals (RFP) process approved by your Board on June 2, 1998, and amended on December 5, 2000.
- 5. Authorize the Executive Director to recommend a partial allocation of the amount of Industry Funds requested by a developer when a significant amount of Industry Funds remains available following the selection of projects eligible for award of this ninth allocation of Industry Funds in the amounts requested and, at the discretion of the Executive Director, to make the partial allocation available for a period of up to 18 months.
- 6. Authorize the Executive Director to negotiate and execute Loan Agreements and all related documents with the selected developers for the purposes described above, to be effective following approval as to form by County Counsel and execution by all parties.
- 7. Authorize the Executive Director to execute documents to subordinate the loans to permitted construction and permanent financing, to execute any necessary intergovernmental, interagency, or inter-creditor agreements, and to execute and modify all related documents as necessary for the implementation of each development.
- 8. Authorize the Executive Director to incorporate a maximum of \$4,325,992 in Industry Funds into the Housing Authority's Fiscal Year 2005-2006 approved budget, as needed to fund development of the recommended projects.

PURPOSE /JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION:

The purpose of this action is to approve the ninth allocation of Industry Funds to fund construction of four developments that will provide affordable multifamily rental housing in incorporated and unincorporated areas within a 15-mile radius of the City of Industry, and to approve the environmental documentation for these developments.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING:

There is no impact on the County general fund. The Housing Authority is recommending loans to developers in a total amount up to \$4,325,992 to fund construction of four developments.

Final loan amounts will be determined following completion of negotiations with the developers and arrangements with other involved lenders. Each loan will be evidenced

Honorable Board of Commissioners December 13, 2005 Page 3

by a promissory note and secured by a deed of trust, with the term of affordability enforced by recorded Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions. Funds for these loans will be included in the Housing Authority's budget.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS:

Industry Funds consist of 20 percent of tax increment funds collected by the City of Industry's Redevelopment Agency that have been transferred to the Housing Authority to develop low- and moderate-income housing. Eight previous RFP processes have awarded a total of an estimated \$120,982,201 in Industry Funds to 143 developments, creating 5,014 units of affordable and special needs housing, and leveraging over \$793,893,750 in other sources of funds.

The ninth RFP process has now been completed. The original amount established for the ninth allocation was approximately \$10,085,583, with any unallocated funds to be "rolled over" into future funding rounds. It is recommended that in the current round, if significant amounts remain available following the selection of projects eligible for the amounts requested, the Executive Director be given the authority to make the allocation available for a period of up to 18 months, depending on the project viability.

A total of 13 RFP applications were received. Three of the applications were for special needs developments, with the remaining 10 applications for affordable rental housing. The three applications for special needs housing were incomplete and failed to meet the threshold requirements established by the RFP. As a result, the RFP for special needs housing will be re-issued and proposers will be given another opportunity to submit a proposal. Therefore, recommendations for funding of special needs developments will be presented to your Board at a later date.

Industry Funds will be allocated to the four highest scoring affordable multifamily projects, which will create 140 Industry Fund-assisted units and leverage a total of \$52,151,788 in other sources of funds. A summary of the recommended allocations is provided as Attachment A.

The current funding recommendations will provide Industry Funds to the developers through loan agreements to be executed by the Executive Director, following completion of financial arrangements and approval as to form by County Counsel. All loan agreements will incorporate affordability restrictions, terms and conditions established by the Housing Authority, and provisions requiring developers to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws.

The loan agreements will set aside a minimum of 20 percent of rental units at rates affordable to low-income households earning less than 50 percent of the median income for the Los Angeles-Long Beach Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA), adjusted for family size, as established by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The loan agreements will require that the housing units be set aside for a period of 55 years. This letter has been reviewed by County Counsel.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS AND SELECTION PROCESS:

The Housing Authority conducted the ninth RFP in accordance with the Allocation and Distribution Plan adopted by your Board. On July 5, 2005, the Housing Authority began advertising the RFP in local newspapers and initiated informational workshops to provide applicants with technical assistance. The Housing Authority conducted an informational meeting for all potential applicants in July 2005. Proposals were accepted until August 29, 2005.

Affordable Housing Developments

In order to allow greater flexibility in awards, no specific goals were set for this category.

TYPE	DEMAND	ALLOCATION
Multifamily Rental	10 Proposals	4 Developments
	\$12,781,482	\$4,325,992

Each recommended proposal has undergone a review by Housing Authority staff and technical consultants. Following this process, the proposals were forwarded to an independent review panel comprised of industry and government experts.

The RFP included a process for applicants to appeal individual scores on procedural issues or technical errors. Applicants were notified of the results on November 4, 2005 and given ten days to appeal. The hearing of appeals and final actions by the independent review panel occurred on November 14, 2005. An appeal was received for the Temple Villas development and the project score was revised from 81 to 82 points.

The recommended funding awards are based on the same threshold criteria adopted for the last allocation, whereby projects scoring a minimum of 70 points are eligible for funding. The recommended awards are being made in accordance with the County's current Housing and Community Development Plan (HCDP) and the planning documents of other affected jurisdictions. The Executive Director may enter into memoranda of understanding and other agreements with other jurisdictions, if necessary, for development of the proposed projects.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION:

The four proposed projects identified in Attachment A have been reviewed by the Housing Authority pursuant to the requirements of CEQA. The Temple Villas project required preparation of an IS/MND by the City of Los Angeles as lead agency, and the Mason Court project required preparation of an EA/MND by the County of Los Angeles as lead agency.

As the responsible agency, and in accordance with the requirements of the State CEQA Guidelines, the Housing Authority reviewed the Temple Villas IS/MND and the Mason

Honorable Board of Commissioners December 13, 2005 Page 5

Court EA/MND and determined that the mitigation measures identified for these projects, by their respective lead agencies, are adequate to avoid, or reduce below significant levels, potentially adverse impacts on the environment. The Housing Authority's consideration of the IS/MND and EA/MND, including mitigation measures, and filing of a Notice of Determination, will satisfy the State CEQA Guidelines as stated in Article 7, Section 15096.

On September 14, 2004, the County of Los Angeles adopted, as lead agency, an EA/MND for the Mason Court Project. County adoption of the EA/MND as lead agency, and filing of a Notice of Determination, meets the requirements of CEQA.

On May 5, 2005, the City of Los Angeles adopted, as lead agency, the IS/MND for the Temple Villas Project and found the filing of a Notice of Determination meets the requirements of CEQA.

The remaining two projects, James Wood Apartments and Mariposa Place, are exempt from the requirements of CEQA, in accordance with State CEQA Guidelines Article 18 Statutory Exemptions, Section 15280, because they will be lower-income housing developments of less than 100 units in an urbanized area for a period of at least 15 years.

The environmental documentation is provided as Attachment B.

CONCLUSION:

The recommended allocation of Industry Funds totaling \$4,325,992 will leverage \$52,151,788 in other sources of funds, over 11 times the Industry Funds recommended for allocation at this time.

Qualified applicants not currently recommended for funding have been encouraged to resubmit applications for funding in subsequent RFP processes.

IMPACT ON CURRENT PROGRAM:

This action will increase the County's supply of affordable housing.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLOS JACKSON
Executive Director

CJ:CH

Attachments: 2

RECOMMENDED ALLOCATIONS - NINTH RFP FOR CITY OF INDUSTRY FUNDS FOR INCORPORATED AND UNINCORPORATED AREAS AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS

S	\$ 17,408,577 \$ 19,291,415	\$ 1,882,838	58	58	Multifamily	Mariposa Place/Hollywood Community Dev. Corp.	Hollywood	ယ်
\$ 3,265,435	\$ 2,928,312	\$ 337,123	12	12	Multifamily	Mason Court/Beyond Shelter Hsg. Dev. Corp.	Unincorporated Willowbrook	2
\$ 21,079,580	\$ 20,092,041	\$ 987,539	32	61	Multifamily	James Wood Apts./1010 Dev. Corp., DECRO, Oldtimers Dev. Corp.	Westlake Redevelopment Area	_
\$ 12,841,350	\$ 11,722,858	\$ 1,118,492	38	52	Multifamily	Temple Villas/W.O.R.K.S.	Echo Park	_
Development Cost	Local and Other Resources	of Set-Aside Industry Funds Jnits Recommended	side	of the state of th	Type of of Set-A	Development/Applicant	Location	District
				No.				

\$ 56,477,780	52,151,788	ક્ક	4,325,992	↔	140	83

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

ROOM 615, CITY HALL

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

INITIAL STUDY

AND CHECKLIST (Article IV - City CEQA Guidelines)

LEAD CITY AGENCY	•	COUNCIL DI	SIRICI	DATE
Department of City Planning		CD1 - Reye		8 April 2004
RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES				
n/a		- ICA	SE NO.	
PROJECT TITLE/NO.		CA	SE NO.	
Temple Street Apartments (SPE)		E	V-2004-06	88
PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.		DOES have	significant cha	enges from previous actions.
CPC-1987-182-SP		DOES NOT actions.	have significa	nt changes from previous
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:				
Specific Plan Exception for a four-state of the CW Zone				
The site is sloped (downward) from	n the Hollywood	Freeway to T	emple Stre	et, with a small ridge on the
The site is sloped (downward) from southerly PL near street level. PROJECT LOCATION			emple Stre	et, with a small ridge on the
The site is sloped (downward) from southerly PL near street level. PROJECT LOCATION 1417-29 W. Temple St. & 520 N. Ps. PLANNING DISTRICT	atton St., Echo Pi Park Elysiau Neig	ark SI	emple Stre	VARY
The site is sloped (downward) from southerly PL near street level. PROJECT LOCATION 1417-29 W. Temple St. & 520 N. Paper PLANNING DISTRICT Silver Lake- Echo Park/Gtr Echo l	atton St., Echo Pi Park Elysiau Neig	ark ST Theorhood	ATUS: O PRELIMIN O PROPOSE O ADOPTED	VARY D date
The site is sloped (downward) from southerly PL near street level. PROJECT LOCATION 1417-29 W. Temple St. & 520 N. Paper PLANNING DISTRICT Silver Lake- Echo Park/Gtr Echo I Council / East Los Angeles Commi	atton St., Echo Pa Park Elysian Neig ssion	ark ST Theorhood	ATUS: O PRELIMIN O PROPOSE O ADOPTED	VARY
The site is sloped (downward) from southerly PL near street level. PROJECT LOCATION 1417-29 W. Temple St. & 520 N. Parlanning district Silver Lake- Echo Park/Gtr Echo I Council / East Los Angeles Commitexisting zoning CW	etton St., Echo Pa Park Elysian Neig Ssion	ark hborhood	ATUS: C) PRELIMIN C) PROPOSE C) ADOPTED	VARY D date
The site is sloped (downward) from southerly PL near street level. PROJECT LOCATION 1417-29 W. Temple St. & 520 N. Particle Planning district Silver Lake- Echo Park/Gtr Echo I Council / East Los Angeles Commit Existing Zoning CW PLANNED LAND USE & ZONE	etton St., Echo Park Elysian Neig ssion MAX DENSITY ZON	ark hborhood	ATUS: C) PRELIMIN C) PROPOSE C) ADOPTED	VARY D date
EXISTING ZONING CW PLANNED LAND USE & ZONE Neighborhood Office Commercial & same as.	etton St., Echo Park Elysian Neig ssion MAX DENSITY ZON	ark hborhood	ATUS: O PRELIMIP O PROPOSE D ADOPTED	VARY D date

DETERMINATION (To be completed by Lead Agenc	37)
On the basis of this initial evaluation:	
☐ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effewill be prepared.	
■ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effithis case because revisions on the project have been made by or agreed DECLARATION will be prepared.	
☐ I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the envised.	
☐ I find the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant imprending the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant imprending the proposed project I) has been adequately analyzed in 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on earlier analysis IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that	as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATI	ect on the environment, because all potentially significant IVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) IVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation
massures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further i	is required.
have been avoided or innigated personnel project, nothing further i measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further i	is required.
measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further in the same state of the same sta	s required. Assistant Planner
measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing future in	

- explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general summarts (e.g., are project-specific screening analysis).

 expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).

 All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as
- All answers must take account of the whole action as well as operational impacts.
 well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
 Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checking answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less that significant with mitigation, or less than significant. indicate whether the impact is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required. there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analysis," cross referenced).
- Earlier analysis must be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.

Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.

Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated
- Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals
 contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whichever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:

AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST

Department of City Planning

PROPOSAL NAME (If Applicable)

- a) The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
- b) The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

	PHONE NUMBER (213) 202-3930
	PHONE NUMBER
	T WHI CONTRACTOR
d by the Lead City Agen	cy)
/Housing	
	. O Mandatory Findings of Significance
esources	D Utilities/Service Systems
	□ Transportation/Traffic
/Water Quality	E Recreation
Hazardous Materiais	2 Public Services
	as indicated by the checkli Hazardous Materials /Water Quality Planning esources h/Housing

DATE SUBMITTED

•		
TANKITOO	NMENTAL	IMPACTS
P. IV LICE	74747774	

(Explanations of all potentially and less than significant impacts are required to be attached on separate sheets)

	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No impact
I. AESTHETICS. Would the project:	-			
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	0		<u> </u>	
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings, or other locally recognized desirable aesthetic natural feature within a city-designated scenic highway?		٠		
c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?		Ø		
d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	0	- 0		•
II. AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project: a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland				✓
of Statewide Importance, as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?		-		
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act Contract?				
c. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?		ū	۵	
III. AIR QUALITY. The significance criteria established by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project result in:				
 a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the SCAQM or Congestion Management Plan? 		a	۵	✓
b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantiall	у 🗆	Δ.	<u> </u>	

	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b. Cause a substantial adverse change in significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to State CEQA §15064.5?	0	প্র		
c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?		0		U
d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				
The second second	1.71			
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:			•	li d
a. Exposure of people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving:				ر الدانية ال
i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?			1	
iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?		0		Ø
iv. Landslides?	0 _	u		1
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	۵	ख	۵	
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or the would become unstable as a result of the project, and potention result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse?	at O			(3)
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-Be the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	of 🔲	a		
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems when sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?	,			
VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environme through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	ant 🖸	. á		e

			Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c. Result in a cumulative criteria poliutant for whic (ozone, carbon monoxide federal or state ambient a	h the air basin is non- , & PM 10) under an	Sitamment	• •			
d. Expose sensitive receptoncentrations?		llutant	0	囡		
e. Create objectionable of people?	odors affecting a subst	antial number		.		
	•	•	•			•
IV. BIOLOGICAL RE	SOURCES. Would	the project:		<u> </u>		
a. Have a substantial ad through habitat modifica	tion, on any species ic	icumien 52 s			. U	
candidate, sensitive, or s regional plans, policies, Department of Fish and	or regulations by the (STITIOUTIN				- man
b. Have a substantial ad other sensitive natural co- regional plans, policies, Department of Fish and Service?	regulations by the Ca	i the Chy or		.		
c. Have a substantial adwetlands as defined by sometimed by sometimed for the substantial adwersary for the substantial adwers	Section 404 of the Cle ed to, marsh vernal po	an Water Act ool, coastal, etc.)			
other means? d. Interfere substantiall	y with the movement	of any native	ū		Ö	- 1
resident or migratory fis established native reside impede the use of native	sh or wildlife species of the control of the contro	or wan ife comidors, or	- 1- 1			P. 100
e. Conflict with any loc	cal policies or ordinan	ces protecting				Ø
ordinance (e.g., oak tree	es or California walnu	t woodlands)?		•		
f. Conflict with the pro	ovisions of an adopted	Habitat servation Plan,	or Ci	Ü		\D
other approved local, replan?	egional, or state habit	It conservation				•
v. CULTURAL RES	SOURCES: Would th	e project			и	
a. Cause a substantial historical resource as d	adverse change in sig lefined in State CEQA	nificance of a §15064.5?		ο.		

	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	۵	0.	٥	
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	٥			☑
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	•		0-	
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for the people residing or working in the area?	ı • •		0	
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			-	
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				₹
		54.5	٠	
VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the proposal result in:				
a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?			.	d
b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned land uses for which permits have been granted)?				
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or silitation on- or off-site?			I	

		Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Significant impact	No impaot	
				0.0		
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the or area, including through the alteration of the course of stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amou surface runoff in an manner which would result in flood on- or off site?	a mt of			2		
e. Create or contribute runoff water which would excee capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage syst or provide substantial additional sources of polluted run-	tems				a	
f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?		0	9			
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood plain as mapp federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate or other flood hazard delineation map?	e Map		<u> </u>		y	
h. Place within a 100-year flood plain structures which impede or redirect flood flows?	would				√a In	
i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of locinity, or death involving flooding, including flooding a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	88, 15 8	ם :				
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?			۵.		\(\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}} \end{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}} \end{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}} \end{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}} \end{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sq}\end{\sq}}}}}}} \end{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}} \end{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}} \end{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sq}}	
IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the proje	ect:	·				W
a. Physically divide an established community?					. .	
b. Conflict with applicable land use plan, policy or reg of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including not limited to the general plan, specific plan, coastal pror zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding mitigating an environmental effect?	ogr am, ng dut	0			ال الاستان م	
c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation planatural community conservation plan?	an or	۵				
			4			
X. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:			h II ni	-N		
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the n of the state?	l esidents				/	
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-import mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local ge plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?	ant meral	٥				

XI. NOISE. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	No Impact	
a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise in level in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	۵	र्ख	.		
b. Exposure of people to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	• •		۵	Ø	
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels the project vicinity above levels existing without the project	in 🔲		Ø	<u> </u>	
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?		\darkarrow		0	
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of the signest or public use airport, would the project expo			۵		
people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?					F
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, wo the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	d .				
XIL POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the proj	ect		•		
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension roads or other infrastructure)?	of D				
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	.				
c. Displace substantial numbers of people necessitating to construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	he .	<u> </u>			
'le a .			: .		
XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result is substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facility construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any or this consider.	lities, ntal		1		
public services: a. Fire protection?	1 0	۵,	 .	र्ज	
b. Police protection?	۵	र्ख		U	

.

	Significant Impact	Significant Unless Significant Unless Significant Unless Significant Mitigation Incorporated	ignificant Impact	No Impact
c. Schools?	10	•	u .	- 1
d. Parks?		•		. 0
e. Other governmental services (including roads)?	, • •	Q		■ ✓
	1		e de la companya de l	
XIV. RECREATION.	Ψ	4		
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				- 110
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				•
XV. TRANSPORTATION/CIRCULATION. Would the project				
a. Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to ratio capacity on roads, or congestion at intersections)?	•		र्व	
b. Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	0			
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				- ;
d. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	۵		. / D	S
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?	. 🗖	– o	D	1
1. Result in inadequate parking capacity?	Q	0	ם	•
g. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?			<u>a</u>	√

XVI. UTILITIES. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?		- 0	۵	✓
b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	.0			
c. Require or result in the construction of new stormwater drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	Q			
d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resource, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				√
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?		۵	a	
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?			a	•
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	ū		<u>o</u>	
XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.				•
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important			•	
examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?		ചെർ	Ź	
b. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of an individual project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects).		_ " I		
c. Does the project have environmental effects which cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	_ 0			

DISCUSSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

(see attachment for impacts analysis)

The environmental impact assessment includes the use of official City of Los Angeles and other government source reference materials related to various environmental impact categories (i.e., Aesthetics, Air Quality, etc.). The State of California Dept. of Conservation, Div. Of Mines and Geology seismic hazards maps and reports are used to identify potential future significant seismic events, including liquefaction. Based on applicant information provided in the Master Land Use Application and Environmental Assessment Form, impact evaluations were based on stated facts contained therein, including but not limited to, the aforementioned sources, field investigation, and any other reliable reference materials known at the time.

Sources:

California Geological Survey website, Seismic Hazard Mapping Program page, http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/

City of Los Angeles CEOA Thresholds Gd.

City of Los Angeles Municipal Code, Vol. 1

California Geological Survey website, Seismic Hazard Mapping Program page, http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/

Navigate LA - http://boemaps.eng.ci.la.ca.us/index01.htm

ZIMAS - www.lacity.org/PLN/

PREPARED BY	TITLE	TELEPHONE #	DATE
Antonio Isaia	Assistant Planner	(213) 978-1353	8 April. 2004

CITY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK ROOM 395, CITY HALL LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

LEAD CITY AGENCY	COUNCIL DISTRICT
LOS ANGELES CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT	= = = 1 = 1
PROJECT TITLE	CASE NO.
ENIV-2004-688-MND	

PROJECT LOCATION

1417-1429 W. Temple Street and 520 N. Patton Street; Silverlake-Echo Park

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Specific Plan Exception for a 4-story, 51-unit (100% affordable) apartment complex with approximately 52 parking spaces in the CW zone. Site Area: 31,789 square-feet (sq. ft.); Floor Area: 51,290 sq. ft.; Height: 36'; Central City West Specific Plan Area.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT IF OTHER THAN CITY AGENCY

Women Organizing Resources, Knowledge and Services (W.O.R.K.S.) 1139 W. 6th Street Los Angeles, CA 90017

FINDING:

The <u>City Planning Department</u> of the City of Los Angeles has proposed that a mitigated negative declaration be adopted for this project because the mitigation measures(s) outlined on the attached page(s) will reduce any potential significant adverse effects to a level of insignificance.

(CONTINUED ON PAGE 2)

SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S) FOR ANY MITIGATION MEASURES IMPOSED.

Any written comments received during the public review period are attached together with the response of the Lead City Agency. The project decision-maker may adopt this mitigated negative declaration, amend it, or require preparation of an EIR. Any changes made should be supported by substantial evidence in the record and appropriate findings made.

THE INITIAL S	TUDY PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT IS A	ITACHED.
NAME OF PERSON PREPARING THIS FORM Antonio Issia	CITY PLANNING ASSISTANT	TELEPHONE NUMBER (213)978-1353
ADDRESS 200 N. Spring Street, Room 763 Los Angeles, CA 90012	SIGNATURE (Official) Emily Gabel-Luddy, Supervisor Environment	V (14/08/04

- Aesthetics (Hillside Site Design) I b1. Environmental impacts, such as alteration of existing or natural terrain may result from project implementation. However, these impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:
 - Grading shall be kept to a minimum.
 - Natural features, such as prominent knolls or ridge lines shall be preserved.
 - Project shall comply with the City's Hillside Development Guidelines.
- Aesthetics (Landscaping) Environmental impacts to the character and aesthetics of the neighborhood may result I b2. from project implementation. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measure:
 - All open areas not used for buildings, driveways, parking areas, recreational facilities or walks shall be attractively landscaped and maintained in accordance with a landscape plan, including an automatic irrigation plan, prepared by a licensed landscape architect to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.
- Aesthetics (Graffiti) Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to graffiti and I b4. accumulation of rubbish and debris along the wall(s) adjacent to public rights-of-way. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:
 - The owners shall maintain the subject property clean and free of debris and rubbish and to promptly remove any graffiti from the walls, pursuant to Municipal Code Sections 91.8101-F, 91.8904-1 and 91.1707-E.
 - Exterior walls of new commercial and residential buildings of other than glass may be covered with clinging vines, screened by oleander trees or similar vegetation capable of covering or screening entire walls up to heights of at least 9-feet, excluding windows and signs.
- Air Pollution (Stationary) m d1. Adverse impacts upon future occupants may result from the project implementation due to existing ambient air pollution levels in the project vicinity. However, this impact can be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measure:

- The applicant shall install air filtration system(s) to reduce the diminished air quality effects on occupants of the project.
- Cultural Resources (Archaeological) Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the project's Vb. location in an area likely to yield unrecorded archaeological sites. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:
 - If any archaeological materials are encountered during the course of the project development, the project shall be halted. The services of an archaeologist shall be secured by contacting the Center for Public Archaeology - Cal State University Fullerton, or a member of the Society of Professional Archaeologist (SOPA) or a SOPA-qualified archaeologist to assess the resources and evaluate the impact.

Copies of the archaeological survey, study or report shall be submitted to the UCLA Archaeological Information Center.

A covenant and agreement shall be recorded prior to obtaining a grading permit.

Erosion/Grading/Short-Term Construction Impacts Environmental impacts may result from the visual alteration of natural landforms on VI b. the site due to grading. However, this impact will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by designing the grading plan to conform the City's Landform Grading Manual guidelines, subject to approval by the Advisory Agency and the Department of Building and Safety's Grading Division.

> Short-term air quality, grading and noise impacts may result from the construction of the proposed project. However, these impacts can be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:

Air Quality

All unpaved demolition and construction areas shall be wetted at least twice daily during excavation and construction, and temporary dust covers shall be used to reduce dust emissions and meet SCAQMD District Rule 403. Wetting could reduce fugitive dust by as much as 50 percent.

The owner or contractor shall keep the construction area sufficiently dampened to control dust caused by grading and hauling, and at all times provide reasonable

control of dust caused by wind.

All loads shall be secured by trimming, watering or other appropriate means to

prevent spillage and dust.

All materials transported off-site shall be either sufficiently watered or securely covered to prevent excessive amount of dust.

All clearing, grading, earth moving, or excavation activities shall be discontinued during periods of high winds (i.e., greater than 15 mph), so as to prevent excessive amounts of dust.

General contractors shall maintain and operate construction equipment so as to minimize exhaust emissions.

Noise

. The project shall comply with the City of Los Angeles Noise Ordinance No. 144,331 and 161,574, and any subsequent ordinances, which prohibit the emission or creation of noise beyond certain levels at adjacent uses unless technically

 Construction and demolition shall be restricted to the hours of 7:00 am to 6:00 pm Monday through Friday, and 8:00 am to 6:00 pm on Saturday.

Construction and demolition activities shall be scheduled so as to avoid operating several pieces of equipment simultaneously, which causes high noise levels.

The project contractor shall use power construction equipment with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices.

The project sponsor must comply with the Noise Insulation Standards of Title 24 of the California Code Regulations, which insure an acceptable interior noise environment:

Grading

Chapter IX, Division 70 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code addresses grading, excavations, and fills. All grading activities require grading permits from the Department of Building and Safety. Additional provisions are required for grading activities within "hillside" areas. The application of BMPs includes but is not limited to the following mitigation measures:

Excavation and grading activities shall be scheduled during dry weather periods. If grading occurs during the rainy season (October 15 through April 1), construct diversion dikes to channel runoff around the site. Line channels with grass or roughened pavement to reduce runoff velocity.

Incorporate appropriate erosion control and drainage devices to the satisfaction of the Building and Safety Department shall be incorporated, such as interceptor terraces, berms, vee-channels, and inlet and outlet structures, as specified by

Section 91.7013 of the Building Code, including planting fast-growing annual and perennial grasses in areas where construction is not immediately planned. These will shield and bind the soil.

Stockpiles and excavated soil shall be covered with secured tarps or plastic sheeting.

General Construction

Sediment carries with it other work-site pollutants such as pesticides, cleaning solvents.

cement wash, asphalt, and car fluids that are toxic to sea life.

All waste shall be disposed of property. Use appropriately labeled recycling bins to recycle construction materials including: solvents, water-based paints, vehicle fluids, broken asphalt and concrete; wood, and vegetation. Non recyclable materials/wastes must be taken to an appropriate landfill. Toxic wastes must be discarded at a licensed regulated disposal site.

Clean up leaks, drips and spills immediately to prevent contaminated soil on paved

surfaces that can be washed away into the storm drains.

Do not hose down pavement at material spills. Use dry cleanup methods whenever possible.

Cover and maintain dumpsters. Place uncovered dumpsters under a roof or cover

with tarps or plastic sheeting.

Use gravel approaches where truck traffic is frequent to reduce soil compaction and

limit the tracking of sediment into streets.

Conduct all vehicle/equipment maintenance, repair, and washing away from storm drains. All major repairs are to be conducted off-site. Use drip pans or drop clothes to catch drips and spills.

Single Family/Multi Family Hillside Dwelling VIII c1.

Environmental impacts may result from erosion of sloped hillsides carrying sediments into the stormwater drainage channels. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by incorporating stormwater pollution control measures. Ordinance No. 172,176 and Ordinance No. 173,494 specify Stormwater and Urban Runoff Pollution Control which requires the application of Best Management Practices (BMPs). Chapter IX, Division 70 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code addresses grading, excavations, and fills. Applicants must meet the requirements of the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) approved by Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, including the following: (A copy of the SUSMP can be downloaded at: http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwocb4/).

• Project applicants are required to implement stormwater BMPs to retain or treat the runoff from a storm event producing 3/4 inch of rainfall in a 24 hour period. The design of structural BMPs shall be in accordance with the Development Best Management Practices Handbook Part B Planning Activities. A signed certificate from a California licensed civil engineer or licensed architect that the proposed BMPs meet this numerical threshold standard is required.

Post development peak stormwater runoff discharge rates shall not exceed the estimated pre-development rate for developments where the increase peak stormwater discharge rate will result in increased potential for downstream erosion.

• Concentrate or cluster development on portions of a site while leaving the remaining land in a natural undisturbed condition.

Limit clearing and grading of native vegetation at the project site to the minimum needed to build lots, allow access, and provide fire protection.

Maximize trees and other vegetation at each site by planting additional vegetation, clustering tree areas, and promoting the use of native and/or drought tolerant plants.

Promote natural vegetation by using parking lot islands and other landscaped areas.

Preserve riparian areas and wetlands.

Cut and fill slopes in designated hillside areas shall be planted and irrigated to
prevent erosion, reduce run-off velocities and to provide long-term stabilization of
soil. Plant materials include: grass, shrubs, vines, ground covers, and trees.

Incorporate appropriate erosion control and drainage devices, such as interceptor terraces, berms, vee-channels, and inlet and outlet structures, as specified by Section 91.7013 of the Building Code. Protect outlets of culverts, conduits or channels from erosion by discharge velocities by installing rock outlet protection. Rock outlet protection is a physical devise composed of rock, grouted riprap, or concrete rubble placed at the outlet of a pipe. Install sediment traps below the pipe outlet. Inspect, repair, and maintain the outlet protection after each significant rain.

Any-connection to the sanitary sewer must have authorization from the Bureau of

Sanitation.

All storm drain inlets and catch basins within the project area must be stenciled
with prohibitive language (such as "NO DUMPING - DRAINS TO OCEAN")
and/or graphical icons to discourage illegal dumping.

Signs and prohibitive language and/or graphical icons, which prohibit illegal dumping, must be posted at public access points along channels and creeks within

the project area.

Legibility of stencils and signs must be maintained.

• Materials with the potential to contaminate stormwater must be: (1) placed in an enclosure such as, but not limited to, a cabinet, shed, or similar structure that

prevent contact with runoff spillage to the stormwater conveyance system; or (2) protected by secondary containment structures such as berms, dikes, or curbs.

• The storage area must be paved and sufficiently impervious to contain leaks and spiils.

The storage area must have a roof or awning to minimize collection of stormwater within the secondary containment area.

• The owner(s) of the property will prepare and execute a covenant and agreement (Planning Department General form CP-6770) satisfactory to the Planning Department binding the owners to post construction maintenance on the structural BMPs in accordance with the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan and or per manufacturer's instructions.

IX a. General Plan Designation/Zoning

The proposed project would permit intensities and or densities exceeding those permitted by the existing Central City West Specific Plan. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measure:

 Compliance with mitigation measures required by this mitigated negative declaration (MND).

XI a13. Severe Noise Levels (Residential Only)

Environmental impacts to future occupants may result from this project's implementation due to mobile noise. However, these impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:

 All exterior windows having a line of sight of Hollywood Freeway shall be constructed with double-pane glass and use exterior wall construction which provides a Sound Transmission Class of 50 or greater as defined in UBC No. 35-1, 1979 edition or any amendment thereto.

The applicant, as an alternative, may retain an acoustical engineer to submit
evidence, along with the application for a building permit, any alternative means
of sound insulation sufficient to mitigate interior noise levels below a CNEL of 45
dBA in any habitable room.

XIII b1. Public Services (Police General)

Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the location of the project in an area having marginal police services. However, this potential impact

will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measure:

• Incorporate into the plans the design guidelines relative to security, semi-public and private spaces, which may include but not be limited to access control to building, secured parking facilities, walls/fences with key systems, well-illuminated public and semi-public space designed with a minimum of dead space to eliminate areas of concealment, location of toilet facilities or building entrances in high-foot traffic areas, and provision of security guard patrol throughout the project site if needed. Please refer to Design out Crime Guidelines: Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design published by the Los Angeles Police Department's Crime Prevention Section (located at Parker Center, 150 N. Los Angeles Street, Room 818, Los Angeles, (213)485-3134. These measures shall be approved by the Police Department prior to the issuance of building permits.

XVII d. End.

The conditions outlined in this proposed mitigated negative declaration which are not already required by law shall be required as condition(s) of approval be the decision-making body except as noted on the face page of this document.

Therefore, it is concluded that no significant impacts are apparent which might result from this project's implementation.

the party of the p

All The Company of the large company of the company

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

ORIGINAL FILED

(Article V, Section 7; Article VI, Section 11 City CEQA Guidelines) MAY 0.5 2005

LOS ANGELES, COUNTY CLERK

Public Resources Cod notice starts a 30-day s Section 21167. Failur	statute of limitations (on court challenges to	the approval of the pro	ion to the County Clerk. The filing of the et pursuant to Public Resources Gode ed to 180 days.
LEAD CITY AGENC	Y AND ADDRESS Los Angeles City 200 N. Spring St Los Angeles, CA		n 15. dd 1	COUNCIL DISTRICT
PROJECT TITLE (Inc Specific Plan Exception Villas Affordable Hou	on, Project Permit Co	Name, If Any) mpliance - "Temple	CASE NOS. APCE 2004-5984-SI ENV 2004-688-MNI	
PROJECT DESCRIP' Specific Plan Exception apartment complex.	TION AND LOCATI on (Central City West	ON Specific Plan) and Pr	oject Permit Complian	ce for a new 52-unit, 100% affordable
CONTACT PERSON Patricia Diefenderfer			HOUSE NUMBER	TELEPHONE NUMBER (213) 978-1478
This is to advise that the following determi	on May 3, 2005, the C nations:	City Council of the Cit	y of Los Angeles appro	oved the above described project and made
SIGNIFICANT EFFECT	○ Project will have Project will not h	a significant effect on ave a significant effec	the environment.	
MITIGATION MEASURES		ires were made a cond ires were not made a c	ition of project approve ondition of project app	ai. oroval.
OVERRIDING CONSIDERATION	☐ Statement of Ove	criding Consideration criding Consideration criding Consideration	s was not adopted.	
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT	Office of the Cit	y Clerk.*	prepared for project and not prepared for the pro-	d may be examined at the
NEGATIVE DECLARATION	examined at the	Office of the City Cle	rk*	as prepared for the project and may be as not prepared for the project.
SIGNATURE	ferday	TITLE. City Planner		DATE OF PREPARATION May 3, 2005
DISTRIBUTION: Fart I - County Clerk Part 2 - City Clerk Part 3 - Agency Record Part 4 - Resp. State Age	nacy (if any)	OFFICE OF THE Room 395, City Hal 200 N. Spring Stree Los Angeles, CA 90	<u>l</u> t	

Ty:U:nodshell/7.28.99

MITIGATION MONITORING

Temple Villas, LP has identified the herein listed mitigation monitor(s) for the implementation of mitigation measures relating to the environmental conditions listed in the attached Master Covenant and Agreement for Temple Villas as follows:

MITIGATION MONITOR

ENVIRON.CONDITI	ON PRE-CONSTRUCTION	DURING CONSTRUCTION	POST CONSTRUCTION
NO. 1 2 3 4 5a 5b 5c 6a-f 7a-d 8a-c 9a-f 10a 10b-e 10f 11a 11b 11c 11d 11f 11g 11h 11i 11j 11k 12a-b 13 14	PRE-CONSTRUCTION Temple Villas, LP N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Temple Villas, LP N/A N/A Temple Villas, LP N/A Temple Villas, LP Temple Villas, LP Temple Villas, LP N/A Temple Villas, LP N/A Temple Villas, LP Temple Villas, LP Amcal General Contractor Temple Villas, LP N/A N/A N/A Temple Villas, LP N/A N/A One Company Architectur	Amcal General Contractors Temple Villas, LP Temple Villas, LP Amcal General Contractors Temple Villas, LP Te	POST CONSTRUCTION Temple Villas, LP Temple Villas, LP N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Temple Villas, LP Temple Villas, LP N/A Temple Villas, LP N/A Temple Villas, LP N/A
15 16 17 18 19	One Company Architectur Temple Villas, LP Temple Villas, LP One Company Architectur N/A	N/A Amcal General Contractors	N/A N/A N/A Temple Villas, LP

APPENDIX A

Mitigation Monitoring Plan Mason Court Housing Project

This section reflects the mitigation monitoring and reporting program requirements of Public Resources Code Section 21081.6 in accordance with CEQA Guidelines 15097:

"...In order to ensure that the mitigation measures and project revisions identified in the EIR or negative declaration are implemented, the public agency shall adopt a program for monitoring or reporting on the revisions which it has required in the project and the measures it has imposed to mitigate or avoid significant environmental effects. A public agency may delegate reporting or monitoring responsibilities to another public agency or to a private entity which accepts the delegation; however, until mitigation measures have been completed the lead agency remains responsible for ensuring that implementation of the mitigation measures occurs in accordance with the program."

Mitigation Measure	Responsible Party	Monitoring Agency	Monitoring Timing
Historic, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources: No archaeological resources are known to	Contractor	Community Development Commission	Construction
be on the project site. However, in the event that archaeological resources are unearthed during project construction,		1	
all earth disturbing work within the project's archaeological area of			Ш
potential effect must be temporarily suspended until a qualified archaeologist has evaluated the nature			
and significance of the find. If human remains are unearthed, State Health and		g _ 1g	
Safety Code Section 7050.5 requires that no further disturbance shall occur			
until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin and disposition pursuant to Public			
Resources Code Section 5097.98. If the remains are determined to be of		= 10751	
Native American descent, the coroner will have 24 hours to notify the Native American Heritage Commission			
Solid Waste Recycling: Project design shall incorporate space for separate bins	Architect	Community Development	Design

for waste and recyclable materials.	III a	Commission	
Water Supply:			
a. To the extent feasible, landscaped areas shall be designed with drought-tolerant species. Irrigation shall be accomplished with drip systems. Planting beds shall be heavily mulched in accordance with water-conserving landscape	Landscape Architect	Community Development Commission	Design/Operation
design practice. b. Structures shall be fitted with water conserving fixtures, including, but not limited to, low flow faucets and toilets.	Architect/Contractor	Community Development Commission	Construction
Possible Onsite Hazards: Prior to demolition of onsite structures, the following shall be implemented:			
 Testing for asbestos-containing materials and lead-based paint shall be conducted in all onsite structures. If asbestos-containing materials or lead-based paint are found, they shall be removed by a licensed contractor in accordance with applicable regulations. 	Contractor	Community Development Commission	Construction
Additional Modifications: Minor changes to the mitigation measures required as a condition of funding approval are permitted, but can only be made with the approval of the Executive Director of the Community Development Commission of the County of Los Angeles. Any modifications must continue to satisfy the requirements of NEPA and CEQA, as determined by the County.	Contractor/Operator	Community Development Commission	Design, Pre-Construction, Construction and Operation